
Neil Ferguson: "...not at all certain that suppression will succeed long term..."

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 23, 2021 at 10:34 PM

To: neil.ferguson@imperial.ac.uk

Cc: gcsa@go-science.gov.uk, c.whitty@nhs.net, Fiona Godlee <fgodlee@bmj.com>, Sharon Davies <sdavies@bmj.com>, Peter Doshi <pdoshi@bmj.com>, Kamran Abbasi <kabbasi@bmj.com>, Theodora Bloom <tbloom@bmj.com>, allyson.pollock@ncl.ac.uk, jioannid@stanford.edu, simon.wain-hobson@pasteur.fr, ebright@waksman.rutgers.edu, mlipsitc@hsph.harvard.edu, mto@umn.edu, tinglesby@jhu.edu, carl.heneghan@phc.ox.ac.uk, michael.levitt@stanford.edu, mkulldorff@bwh.harvard.edu, Jayanta Bhattacharya <jay@stanford.edu>, sbhakdi@uni-mainz.de, Gus Dalglish <dalgles@sgul.ac.uk>, karol.sikora@buckingham.ac.uk, anders.tegnell@folkhalsomyndigheten.se, johan.giesecke@ki.se, i.frazer@uq.edu.au, Peter Doherty <pcd@unimelb.edu.au>, "Collignon, Peter (Health)" <peter.collignon@act.gov.au>, Peter Collignon <collignon.peter@gmail.com>, roy.anderson@imperial.ac.uk, p.openshaw@imperial.ac.uk, president@royalsociety.org, dcannadi@princeton.edu, "V. Ramakrishnan" <ramak@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk>, InstituteDirector@turing.ac.uk, Andrew Goddard <Andrew.Goddard@rcplondon.ac.uk>, chris.conlon@ndm.ox.ac.uk, Dan Sumners <Dan.Sumners@rcplondon.ac.uk>, j.shine@garvan.org.au, robert.clancy181@gmail.com, sunetra.gupta@zoo.ox.ac.uk, andrew.pollard@paediatrics.ox.ac.uk, Heidi.Larson@lshtm.ac.uk, graham.medley@lshtm.ac.uk

For the attention of:

Professor Neil Ferguson
Vaccine Impact Modelling Consortium
Imperial College London

Professor Ferguson, I left a response on your article on *The Telegraph* today, but now all comments have been deleted... Neil Ferguson: how modelling shaped Britain's pandemic - and my regret at breaking lockdown rules.

Censorship is par for the course for those striving for transparency and accountability for lockdowns and fast-tracked experimental Covid-19 vaccine products. So I'm forwarding my response directly to you.

Professor Ferguson, in regards to you breaking the lockdown, you say: **"I made exactly the sort of risk-based decision (having finished self-isolation after testing positive for coronavirus) which the rules did not permit."** So did you think you were immune after having the coronavirus? Presuming your test wasn't a false positive? Do you think other people should be prevented from developing their own natural response to the virus?

Professor Ferguson, in your Imperial College Report 9, you argue for a suppression strategy, saying: **"The major challenge of suppression is that this type of intensive intervention package - or something equivalently effective at reducing transmission - will need to be maintained until a vaccine becomes available (potentially 18 months or more) - given that we predict that transmission will quickly rebound if interventions are relaxed."**

Who decided on the mass vaccination intervention? Wasn't it known at the time that the virus wasn't a threat to everyone? It appears now it's mainly the elderly with comorbidities who are at risk of the virus. **So why was it planned to vaccinate the entire global population?**

Was the vaccine response initiated at the behest of one of your funders, Bill Gates?[1] Gates outlined his global Covid-19 vaccine plans in his article published in April 2020: What you need to know about the COVID-19 vaccine.

Why is a software billionaire dominating international vaccination policy?

We are now seeing calls for people of all ages, including children, to be vaccinated with fast-tracked experimental Covid-19 vaccine products.

In *The Telegraph* today, it's reported Boris Johnson and Matt Hancock are planning to set in place compulsory vaccination for care home staff.[2] This is the thin end of the wedge, with compulsory vaccination probably to be pressed upon everyone, e.g. No Jab, No Job; No Jab, No School; No Jab, No Travel; No Jab, No Pub; etc.

This is looking like a disaster Professor Ferguson, because this is not just a matter of one magical shot to provide lifelong immunity. People are being set up for repeated revaccination throughout life with Covid-19 vaccine products, even though most people aren't at serious risk of the virus - did anyone think this through?

People who are not at serious risk of the virus, are going to have their own effective immune response compromised by the vaccines, with the apparent aim of making them dependent upon Covid vaccine products for life. How can this

be justified Professor Ferguson - is this ethical, to steal people's natural immunity and seek to make them dependent upon the vaccine industry?

According to an article in the *Independent* on 13 March 2020, there were plans to develop natural herd immunity, see: Coronavirus: 60% of UK population need to become infected so country can build 'herd immunity', government's chief scientist says.

But this all seemed to change after your report was published, dated 16 March 2020, resulting in a massive impact around the world with the implementation of lockdowns and other restrictions hindering free movement and association, with devastating consequences for society and the economy.

Professor Ferguson, in your report you queried whether suppression **"is possible long-term, and whether the social and economic costs of the interventions adopted thus far can be reduced"**. You also emphasise **"that (it) is not at all certain that suppression will succeed long term; no public health intervention with such disruptive effects on society has been previously attempted for such a long duration of time. How populations and societies will respond remains unclear"**.

Wow...didn't anyone think to do 'modelling' of the social and economic costs of the interventions?

We really are living through the biggest scandal in history...

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

References:

1. SAGE covid-19 register of participants' interests.
2. Exclusive: Care home staff to face compulsory Covid vaccination. Leaked Cabinet plans reveal that Prime Minister and Health Secretary have agreed to make jabs a legal requirement. The Telegraph, 22 March 2021.