



Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Is it ethical to 'vaccinate' billions of people against COVID-19? Email to Andrew Read, re 'leaky vaccines'

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
To: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Sat, Nov 6, 2021 at 2:28 PM

It's astonishing that multiple shots of COVID-19 'leaky vaccines', that don't prevent infection nor transmission, and with questionable duration of 'immunity', are being foisted upon the entire global population, and being mandated in many countries!

What is going on?

I raised questions about the 'leaky vaccines' in January this year, contacting Andrew Read, an author on the famed 'leaky vaccines'/Marek's disease in chickens study.

It's stunning there appears to have been no careful evaluation process before spreading these defective covid-19 injections across the global population...how could this have happened?!?!

We have to track this back now... See my follow-up email to Andrew Read below.

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Nov 3, 2021 at 10:06 PM

Subject: Is it ethical to 'vaccinate' billions of people against COVID-19? Email to Andrew Read, re 'leaky vaccines'

To: Andrew Read

Cc: Andrew Pollard, Gus Dagleish, John Ioannidis, Jodie McVernon, Neil Ferguson, Patrick Vallance, Chris Whitty, Fiona Godlee, Sharon Davies, Peter Doshi, Kamran Abbasi, Theodora Bloom, Allyson Pollock, Simon Wain-Hobson, Richard Ebright, Marc Lipsitch, Michael Osterholm, Tom Inglesby, Carl Heneghan, Michael Levitt, Martin Kulldorff, Jayanta Bhattacharya, Karol Sikora, Anders Tegnell, Johan Giesecke, Ian Frazer, Peter Doherty, Peter Collignon, Roy Anderson, Peter Openshaw, Adrian Smith, David Cannadine, Venki Ramakrishnan, Andrew Goddard, Chris Conlon, Dan Sumners, Robert Clancy, Sunetra Gupta, Heidi Larson, Graham Medley, Melinda Mills, John Bell, David Kennedy, Peter A. McCullough, Nick Hudson, James McCaw, Tom Kompas, Zoe Hyde, Quentin Grafton, Emma McArthur, Anthony Harnden, Adam Finn, Adrian Hill, Sarah Gilbert, John Shine, Nick Scott, Geert Vanden Bossche, Robert Malone, Jonathan Engler

For the attention of:

Andrew Read

Department of Entomology

PennState College of Agricultural Sciences

Andrew Read, I first contacted you on 27 January this year, asking: **If Covid-19 vaccines don't prevent transmission, can they facilitate the evolution of more virulent variants?**

Nine months later, COVID-19 'leaky vaccines' are being pressed upon billions of people of all ages and health status around the world, including children. They're being pressed upon people who are not at serious risk of COVID-19, i.e. most people under 70, and many healthy people over that age.

In light of **your Marek's disease and 'leaky vaccines' study**, what do you think now about this unprecedented plan to inject billions of people with 'leaky vaccines' that don't prevent infection nor transmission, and which provide limited duration of 'immunity'? Particularly as it was known from the beginning most people aren't at serious risk of COVID-19?

How on earth could these experimental 'leaky vaccine' products be pressed upon the entire global community, how could this be approved?! It's truly mind-boggling...

In Australia, people in the states of Victoria and New South Wales are now under an apartheid system, differentiating between the 'vaccinated' and the 'unvaccinated', with the 'vaccinated' granted freedoms of movement and association, while those who refuse to submit to the defective 'leaky vaccines' are punished with 'stay-at-home' orders and excluded from civil society. A similar situation is looming for people in other states, with talk of vaccination targets of up to 95% before freedoms are returned for all. What exactly is 'the science' backing these vaccination targets, or is it the International Monetary Fund calling the shots: **COVID not over until everyone jabbed: IMF?**

Regardless of arbitrary vaccination targets, health practitioners have an obligation to obtain **'informed consent'** from their patients before medical interventions, including vaccination. The Australian regulator of health practitioners, AHPRA, **has confirmed "Informed consent is a person's voluntary decision about health care that is made with knowledge and understanding of the benefits and risks involved"**. But it seems many people are *not* making a voluntary decision about COVID-19 vaccination, as they are being coerced into this medical intervention to keep their jobs. State governments and companies such as BHP, Rio Tinto, Woodside Petroleum, News Corp, SPC, Qantas, National Bank, ANZ, Commonwealth Bank, Westpac, Coles, Aldi, KPMG and others have taken it upon themselves to demand their employees be vaccinated, **led by the call of News Corp Australasia Chairman Michael Miller**, with the support of the Morrison Government. It's astonishing what an authoritarian state Australia is turning into, on the back of the Morrison and State Governments' grossly disproportionate and ill-targeted response to SARS-CoV-2.

It seems this is a plan to deliberately hook people onto COVID-19 injections for life with repeated 'boosters', thereby creating an ongoing multi-billion dollar COVID injection market, at the cost of natural immunity, see for example my rapid response published on *The BMJ* in March 2020: **Is it ethical to impede access to natural immunity? The case of SARS-CoV2.**

Millions of people have been pressured to have COVID-19 injections, in my view without valid 'informed consent'. For instance, how many people were informed they were being set up for multiple COVID-19 injections, with a third dose already underway, and a fourth dose in the pipeline? How many more in future, and with what consequences?

It's particularly shocking that children are being lined up for these injections when they are at infinitesimal risk of COVID-19 - what will it mean for them to be injected, possibly throughout life, with these still experimental COVID injections? How can this be happening?

This is happening because it's not really about 'the virus', it's about the vaccine passports that have been in the pipeline for years, and the plan to hook people into a digital system which will lock them into vaccines from cradle to grave, and a dystopian network of surveillance and control. Most Australians have no idea what they are being led into, because they've been brainwashed by a propaganda machine that controls the message, and floods the media with fear-mongering to support the lucrative corporate response to COVID-19, as foretold in the Event 201 pandemic simulation, particularly **Segment 4 on Communications** (transcript attached).

With the Morrison Government pouring **\$90 million of taxpayers' money** into its COVID-19 vaccine communications campaign, no wonder the mainstream media has been so compliant in providing blanket support and censoring dissent. There's been little or nothing in the way of critical analysis of this unprecedented mass population vaccination rollout, which is actually **"the largest global vaccination trial ever"**, as admitted by Health Minister Greg Hunt.

Andrew Read, when you responded to me in January this year, see email below, you said you weren't "quite sure what to say", but you couldn't "see any ethical reason to withhold vaccines..."

Did you foresee that COVID-19 injections would be pressed upon people not at risk of COVID-19, and even mandated, as is happening now in countries such as Australia, the US, France, Italy etc? Did you know that people's fundamental freedoms were going to be attached to their vaccination status?

What are your thoughts now in regards to this unprecedented global rollout of 'leaky vaccines'? **Do you think this global mass vaccination campaign is ethically justifiable? If so, why?**

My thoughts are that this is the biggest crime in human history, with the burgeoning COVID industry stealing the people's wealth, freedom, and natural immunity.

As someone who claims to have 'infectious disease expertise' and is a self-professed 'institutional leader', I'd appreciate your response on this matter of vital importance to the entire global population.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

On Sun, Jan 31, 2021 at 3:52 AM Read, Andrew Fraser wrote:

Elizabeth,

Sorry for the lack of response. It's very busy for those of us who have infectious disease expertise and who are institutional leaders. I also wasn't quite sure what to say.

My feeling at the moment is this. In both the UK and the US, COVID is causing carnage. Thousands are dying each day. We should be doing everything we can to mitigate that. I can't see any ethical reason to withhold

vaccines and let people die based on possible evolutionary trajectories the virus might take (with unknown probabilities). We absolutely need to study the virus evolution going forward, and as soon as we can, address any evolution that's been triggered, especially since there are many options going forward. But right now, the top priority has to be to stop the carnage. As we can see in the UK and the US nothing else has worked. Hopefully the vaccines will.

Andrew

From: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
Date: Saturday, January 30, 2021 at 8:14 AM
To: Andrew Read
Subject: Could Covid-19 vaccines facilitate virulent variants?

Professor Read, it's disappointing you haven't acknowledged or responded to my email raising concerns about the coronavirus vaccine products in relation to your 'leaky vaccines' paper.

FYI, please see below my email to the Presidents of the UK Royal Society and British Academy, requesting their consideration of this matter, which includes my email with questions addressed to you.

As I note in my email below, it's very difficult for members of the public to raise concerns about vaccines, as they are often tagged as 'anti-vaxxers', and marginalised and even censored.

But there must be accountability for the fast-tracked experimental coronavirus vaccine products.

My email below is also accessible via this link: <https://vaccinationispolitical.files.wordpress.com/2021/01/could-covid-19-vaccines-facilitate-virulent-variants.pdf>

Professor Read, I do think you have a professional responsibility to respond on this matter of global importance.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jan 30, 2021 at 5:36 PM
Subject: If Covid-19 vaccines don't prevent transmission, can they facilitate the evolution of more virulent variants?
To: <president@royalsociety.org>, <dcannadi@princeton.edu>, V. Ramakrishnan <ramak@mrc.ac.uk>, <InstituteDirector@turing.ac.uk>
Cc: <press@royalsociety.org>, <press@thebritishacademy.org>

For the attention of:

Sir Adrian Smith, President of the Royal Society

Dr Venki Ramakrishnan, previous President of the Royal Society 2015-2020

Sir David Cannadine, President of the British Academy

Dear Sir Adrian, Dr Ramakrishnan and Sir David, **please see below my email to Professor Andrew Read**, referring to his 'leaky vaccines' paper published in 2015, and asking: **If Covid-19 vaccines don't prevent transmission, can they facilitate the evolution of more virulent variants?**

As noted in my email to Professor Read, I raised this matter in a rapid response submitted on a BMJ article, but my rapid response was not published. (BMJ article: [Covid-19: What new variants are emerging and how are they being](#)

investigated? 18 January 2021.)

It's very disappointing The BMJ did not publish my rapid response, and that Professor Read has not responded to my email, **as the questions raised are very important to consider in regards to the current rushed rollout of experimental coronavirus vaccine products around the world.**

This is yet another example of the medical/scientific establishment refusing to acknowledge and respond to concerns of the public. People asking questions about vaccine products are often tagged as 'anti-vaxxers', and marginalised and even censored, a situation fostered by the Royal Society and British Academy's recent COVID-19 vaccine deployment report, which infers such people are spreading 'misinformation' and should be subjected to criminal prosecution. It's appalling the scientific establishment threatens people who question the status quo with criminal sanction, this is the very antithesis of open-minded scientific inquiry. ([COVID-19 vaccine deployment: Behaviour, ethics, misinformation and policy strategies](#). The Royal Society and The British Academy, 21 October 2021.)

Sir Adrian, Dr Ramakrishnan and Sir David, the current panicked and ill-considered coronavirus vaccine rollout is an unprecedented global event, with the intention of vaccinating the entire global population of 7.8 billion people with these fast-tracked experimental vaccine products, potentially on an annual or even more frequent basis, apparently at the behest of software billionaire Bill Gates, who suggests COVID-19 vaccines "will become part of the routine newborn immunization schedule". ([GatesNotes: What you need to know about the COVID-19 vaccine](#), 30 April 2020.)

The Royal Society and British Academy are eminent academic organisations, ***albeit with undisclosed conflicts of interest...*** I bring my questions to Professor Read to your attention, **as they are of significant public interest, and request your consideration of this important matter.** It's also notable that [Professor Read is a Fellow of the Royal Society](#), and surely he has a duty to acknowledge and respond to this important scientific issue?

Please see below my email to Professor Read. I'm also circulating this email to other parties for information.

I would appreciate your response.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Jan 27, 2021 at 11:32 PM

Subject: If Covid-19 vaccines don't prevent transmission, can they facilitate the evolution of more virulent variants?

To: Andrew Read

Dear Professor Read, I recently submitted a rapid response on an article on The BMJ referring to your paper [Imperfect vaccination can enhance the transmission of highly virulent pathogens](#), published in 2015.

My rapid response is in relation to the current coronavirus situation and asks the question "If Covid-19 vaccines don't prevent transmission, can they facilitate the evolution of more virulent variants?"

Please see below my submitted rapid response, which has not been published, I would appreciate your thoughts on this matter:

Questions are being raised about the new coronavirus variants[1,2,3,4], and their emergence in the UK, South Africa and Brazil, where AstraZeneca vaccine trials are under way.

Could this be related to the possibility that the vaccines may not prevent transmission, and facilitate the evolution of new variants?

For example, Deputy Chief Medical Officer Jonathan Van-Tam says "...we do not yet know the impact of the vaccine on transmission of the virus. So even after you have had both doses of the vaccine you may still give Covid-19 to someone else and the chains of transmission will then continue".[5]

With the possibility the experimental coronavirus vaccines might not prevent transmission of the virus, is it possible these could be 'leaky vaccines', i.e. "anti-disease vaccines that do not prevent transmission" which "can create conditions that promote the emergence of pathogen strains that cause more severe disease in unvaccinated hosts"? This is described in Andrew F. Read et al's study re Marek's disease in poultry, i.e. [Imperfect vaccination can enhance the transmission of highly virulent pathogens](#), published in 2015.[6]

While Read et al note most human vaccines are sterilizing (transmission-blocking) - (e.g. the measles vaccine), Read is "concerned about the next generation of vaccines that are being developed against diseases like HIV and malaria. People don't naturally develop life-long immunity to these conditions after being infected, as they would against, say, mumps or measles. This makes vaccine development a tricky business, and it means that the resulting vaccines will probably leak to some extent." Read says "This isn't an argument against developing those vaccines, but it is an argument for ensuring that we carefully check for transmission."[7]

What does this mean for the experimental coronavirus vaccines that are now being rolled out into the community? Is anyone thinking about the potential problems that might arise if these vaccines do indeed fail to prevent transmission, and what this might mean for the unvaccinated, i.e. if these could be "anti-disease vaccines that do not prevent transmission" which "can create conditions that promote the emergence of pathogen strains that cause more severe disease in unvaccinated hosts"?

References:

1. Covid-19: What new variants are emerging and how are they being investigated? BMJ 2021;372:n158.
2. Andrew D Stevens BMJ Rapid Response: Re: Covid-19: What new variants are emerging and how are they being investigated? 22 January 2021.
3. How the Oxford-AstraZeneca covid-19 vaccine was made. BMJ 2021;372:n86.

4. Rajalakshmi Lakshman BMJ Rapid Response: Re: How the Oxford-AstraZeneca covid-19 vaccine was made. 23 January 2021.
5. The vaccine has given us hope, but we still need to follow the rules. The Telegraph, 23 January 2021.
6. Andrew F. Read et al. Imperfect vaccination can enhance the transmission of highly virulent pathogens. PLoS Biol. 2015 Jul; 13(7): e1002198.
7. Leaky vaccines enhance spread of deadlier chicken viruses. National Geographic. 27 July 2015.

Professor Read, I would appreciate your thoughts on this matter.

In my opinion, there should not have been a vaccination response to this virus. It seems the elderly with comorbidities, and other vulnerable groups, are at risk of this virus, but the majority of the population are not. I think the lockdowns and restrictions and masks are the wrong approach, with devastating consequences for society and the economy. There should have been a targeted approach to the at-risk groups, seeking effective treatments, and promising preventatives such as vitamin D. To embark on a mission to vaccinate the entire world population, potentially every year or even more frequently, seems like madness to me

We are now facing the unprecedented situation of planning to vaccinate the entire global population, with who knows what consequences, is anyone thinking this through?

They plan to vaccinate everyone, including children. How can this possibly be ethical as children are generally not at risk with this disease, and to impose annual coronavirus vaccination upon them is to in effect steal their own natural response to the virus. And to impose a lifetime of coronavirus vaccination upon them, with who knows what consequences.

It seems to me this whole matter has been very ill considered, not considered at all in fact. And the 'case' and death statistics are highly suspicious. Also the way in which 'case' and death counts are recited endlessly, but seldom considered in the context of a country's population and annual mortality.

I wonder how this matter can be addressed, now that it is a juggernaut out of control? And people who raise concerns are tagged as 'anti-vaxxers'. This must be rejected, this is an extremely serious situation which may have huge consequences for the human species, this audacious goal to vaccinate the entire global population with these fast-tracked experimental coronavirus vaccine products.

I hope you will respond.

Kind regards

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

