



Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Mandated COVID-19 injections - Channel Nine / Warren Tredrea

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
To: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 8, 2021 at 3:26 PM

Please see below my email challenging COVID-19 vaccination mandates at Channel Nine.

These vaccination mandates are spreading throughout society, it seems the plan is to exclude those people who refuse to submit to defective COVID-19 'leaky vaccines'.

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2021 at 3:21 PM

Subject: Mandated COVID-19 injections - Channel Nine / Warren Tredrea

To: Lauren Baker

Cc: Emma McArthur

For the attention of:

Mr Sean O'Brien (C/- Lauren Baker)

Managing Director

Channel Nine Adelaide

Dear Mr O'Brien, it's being reported that Channel Nine has introduced a COVID-19 double vaccination mandate for everyone on all its sites nationally.

Nine Adelaide sports presenter Warren Tredrea has stood down in protest against the mandate.

What has motivated Channel Nine to put this mandate in place, and seek to influence the personal health care decisions of people on Channel Nine sites nationally?

What scientific and medical sources/references are Channel Nine using to justify mandating people to have COVID-19 injections, can you please provide this information for public perusal?

Channel Nine is part of Nine Entertainment which is chaired by Peter Costello, former Coalition Treasurer. Peter Costello is also Chair of the Future Fund which is heavily invested in COVID-19 vaccine manufacturers such as CSL, Pfizer and Johnson & Johnson (see attached list of equity holdings as at 30 June 2021.)

Is this conflict of interest having any bearing on Channel Nine's mandated COVID-19 vaccination policy?

The COVID-19 injections are 'leaky vaccines' which do not prevent infection nor transmission. The purported 'protection' provided by these experimental injections has shown to be of limited duration, and now 'boosters' are being promoted, potentially for life. For example, in the United Kingdom, the minimum gap for COVID-19 'boosters' has been halved from six monthly to three monthly because of the failure of these injections to provide proper *immunity* to COVID-19, see: **UK's minimum gap for Covid booster jabs to be halved to three months.** Are people facing multiple 'booster' shots every year? Consider that **the Morrison Government has announced "Through continued engagement with Pfizer-BioNTech, the Government has secured 60 million doses in 2022, and 25 million doses in 2023.** Delivery will begin in the first quarter of 2022 and **enable booster coverage throughout the year**". (My emphasis.) According to the Minister for Health and Aged Care, Greg Hunt, "More than 280 million doses of COVID-19 vaccines have now been secured to support the COVID-19 vaccine roll-out". **280 million doses for a population of 26 million...** And **\$8 billion of taxpayers' dollars** has so far been invested in the Morrison Government's COVID-19 vaccine rollout.

Obviously, the claims being made for the effectiveness of these injections are very much open to question, with umpteen 'boosters' now in the offing. The COVID-19 injections are 'provisionally' approved by the TGA, and the TGA is relying upon manufacturers' data and post-market assessment to judge the effectiveness and safety of these products. 'Post market assessment' indicates people being injected in the community are part of the clinical trials assessing these products, **as admitted by Greg Hunt, i.e "The world is engaged in the largest clinical trial, the largest global vaccination trial ever..."**

Who has given their 'informed consent' to participate in this 'largest global vaccination trial ever'? Informed consent is shaping up to be a very controversial subject as **I suspect no-one has truly given valid informed consent before these fast-tracked experimental medical interventions.** For instance - **how many people knew they were being set up for a potential lifetime of 'boosters'?** This is a matter I'm pursuing with AHPRA, the regulator of health care practitioners, and others. **AHPRA has confirmed to me that "Practitioners have an obligation to obtain informed consent for treatment, including vaccination. Informed consent is a person's voluntary decision about health care that is made with knowledge and understanding of the benefits and risks involved".**

Many people are being coerced into having COVID-19 injections to keep their jobs - how can this be a 'voluntary' decision? These vaccination mandates are spreading across society, e.g. to access the Adelaide Festival Theatre, the Adelaide Oval, the Adelaide Zoo, golf clubs such as Grange, Kooyonga and Royal Adelaide, etc, etc. **It seems the plan is to freeze people out of civil society if they refuse to submit to regular injections with defective COVID-19 'leaky vaccines'.**

Who is co-ordinating this push for mandatory COVID-19 injections, and what exactly is 'the emergency' being used to justify this intrusion on people's bodily autonomy?

Over the past 22 months 5.2 million deaths have been attributed to COVID-19 globally.[1] This is in a global population of 7.9 billion, where around 60 million deaths would be expected annually[2], so around 110 million deaths would be expected over 22 months. Deaths attributed to COVID-19 must be seen in this context, including that categorisation of deaths 'of covid or 'with' covid is controversial. Independent and objective retrospective critical analysis is required to investigate deaths and 'case' statistics, and the basis of this so-called 'pandemic'.

Despite the incessant fear-mongering from the corporate media and taxpayer-funded ABC and SBS, and from Chief Health Officers and politicians and others, most people aren't at serious risk of COVID-19. For example, the WHO acknowledges "Most people infected with the virus will experience mild to moderate respiratory illness and recover without requiring special treatment." (See attached.) While the WHO says "...some will become seriously ill and require medical attention", **it seems most people won't become seriously ill and require special treatment.**

For most people COVID-19 is not a serious risk, particularly for healthy children and young people. This is a particularly serious matter to consider now, with **COVID-19 injections for five-year-olds** in the offing. In her **letter to the South Australian Commissioner for Children and Young People**, Emma McArthur notes the risk of dying from COVID-19 for children is close to zero and it is less dangerous to them than influenza. They are also less likely to get symptoms of COVID-19 and a vast body of literature shows that children are **not major** drivers of transmission. **While older people might be willing to have regular COVID-19 injections, these potentially regular medical interventions are highly questionable for healthy younger people with their lives ahead of them, disrupting their natural innate immunity with who knows what long-term consequences.**

With such low risks for most people, why are mass populations being coerced to be vaccinated with defective COVID-19 'leaky vaccines'? This is the big question... Why are the Morrison and State Governments so determined to have ever-increasing vaccination targets, now heading towards 95%? From a public health perspective it makes no sense as the COVID-19 injections do not provide sterilising immunity and most people are capable of mounting their own effective immune response. **But now a massive and highly lucrative multi-billion dollar repeat COVID-19 injection market is being created, in which Peter Costello's Future Fund is heavily invested, plus vaccine passports are being put in place which have significant implications in regards to population control and surveillance aka a social credit system.** These are important political issues which require urgent investigation, along with considering the potentially deleterious long-term consequences of implementing this unprecedented experimental mass population vaccination rollout.

In the meantime Mr O'Brien, Channel Nine is demanding that people on Channel Nine sites submit to the COVID-19 injections, interfering with their own evaluation of the risks and benefits of these medical interventions in regards to their own age and health status. You are setting people up for a lifetime of these medical interventions/'boosters' and possible dependence upon the vaccine industry, with 'vaccines' of unknown effectiveness and safety.

Why isn't Channel Nine providing objective and independent critical analysis of the grossly disproportionate and ill-targeted COVID-19 response? Is it conflicted by its corporate interests and relationships? Channel Nine is failing to be an effective member of the fourth estate, along with many other corporate media groups. You should be shining a light in dark corners of government and keeping them accountable. Instead, you're running the Morrison and State Government's fear-mongering COVID-19 propaganda machine.

As previously requested, **please provide for public perusal the scientific and medical sources/references Channel Nine is using to justify mandating people to have COVID-19 injections.**

I look forward to your response.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

References:

1. Number of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) deaths worldwide as of December 3, 2021, by country, as accessed 6 December 2021: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1093256/novel-coronavirus-2019ncov-deaths-worldwide-by-country/>
2. Number of deaths per year, World. Our World in Data. As accessed 6 December 2021: <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/number-of-deaths-per-year>

3 attachments



Response from AHPRA re informed consent.pdf

105K



Coronavirus WHO.pdf

693K



Future Fund direct listed equity holdings June 2021.pdf

174K