



Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

## Were 'leaky vaccines' deliberately spread round the world? Considering the monkey trial...

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>  
To: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 7, 2022 at 4:21 PM

**It's now known the covid-19 jabs are 'leaky vaccines' that don't prevent infection nor transmission. It's claimed they provide dubious 'protection' of limited duration. But most people weren't in need of this 'protection' as they weren't at serious risk of Covid-19.**

Now billions of people around the world, including children, are being set up for repeat shots with these experimental jabs, potentially for life.

How did this happen? This is what we need to track back now...

For instance, **consider the monkey trial for the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine. This monkey trial demonstrated 'the vaccine' did not prevent infection nor spread. Should 'the vaccine' have proceeded to human trials? This is important to consider now, with the outcome being billions of people being repeatedly subjected to Covid-19 'leaky vaccines', with who knows what future consequences?**

Please see below my email to UK prime minister Boris Johnson on this matter.

Elizabeth Hart

**Independent person investigation the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy**

[vaccinationispolitical.net](http://vaccinationispolitical.net)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Mar 22, 2022 at 1:18 PM

Subject: Were 'leaky vaccines' deliberately spread round the world? Considering the monkey trial...

To: <boris.johnson.mp@>

Cc: <altsale@>, <charles.walker.mp@>, <swayned@>, <steve.baker.mp@>, <mark.harper.mp@>, <jonathan.ball@nottingham>, <eleanor.riley@ed>, <sajid.javid.mp@>, <Krishna.Srinivasan@dhsc>, <ejminoz@>, <nick.hudson@pandata>, <PeterAMcCullough@>, <pmozelewski@>, <newstips@gbnews>

### For the attention of:

Boris Johnson  
Prime Minister of the UK  
UK Conservative Party

Dear Boris Johnson, **it's clear now that the covid-19 'vaccines' are actually 'leaky vaccines' that don't prevent infection nor transmission.**

In the case of the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine, **this was known from the beginning, when the monkey trial demonstrated that the vaccine did not prevent the animals from catching or spreading the virus.**

What consideration was given to this matter before progressing to human trials?

**This must be tracked back now, who decided to continue with the Oxford/AstraZeneca human trials, knowing that the monkeys could catch and spread the virus?**

This was raised by Jonathan Ball, professor of molecular virology at the University of Nottingham in May 2020 when he said:

**the vaccine data suggests that the jab may not be able to prevent the spread of the virus between infected individuals. "That viral loads in the noses of vaccinated and unvaccinated animals were identical is very significant. If the same happened in humans, vaccination would not stop spread," he said. "I genuinely believe that this finding should warrant an urgent re-appraisal of the ongoing human trials of the ChAdOx1 vaccine." (See in context below.)**

**Boris Johnson, I request that you initiate an urgent investigation into this matter.**

**The circulation of 'leaky vaccines' around the globe has interfered with the natural human response to the virus, and led to these medical interventions being repeatedly pressed upon billions of people. Some**

countries are now up to the fourth shot 'booster', e.g. with other products, such as the Pfizer and Moderna jabs, being used in conjunction with the Oxford/AstraZeneca jab.

**In many instances people are being pressured, coerced and manipulated to submit to these defective jabs which don't prevent infection nor transmission**, e.g. in Australia, where state governments and employers are mandating the covid jabs for employment - No Jab, No Job. Some states and territories are also mandating the jabs for people to enter hospitality and entertainment venues and government-owned galleries, museums and libraries, plus other facilities such as football and golf clubs are also demanding that people be jabbed. **Australia is being turned into an apartheid based on job status, with critical thinking people being punished for not consenting to the defective Covid-19 jabs, and discriminated against and segregated, cancelled out of civil society. It's an appalling political situation, a disgraceful scar on Australia's history.**

**The Covid-19 jab rollout is an unprecedented medical intervention being undertaken across the global population, against a virus it was known from the beginning wasn't a serious threat to most people.**

**The world population is now in the throes of a massive experiment - without valid voluntary consent. We have no idea where this is going.** Most recently the omicron variant is being promoted as the latest threat, another variant which appears to escape the 'leaky vaccines'. The threat of omicron has been beaten up in countries such as the UK and Australia, despite the fact that the country where this variant first appeared, South Africa, reports it is generally mild, see: **From symptoms to transmission - why we must listen to South African scientists on omicron**, *Telegraph*, 9 January 2022, copy attached.

Boris Johnson, some historical articles in the *Telegraph* provide background re the monkey trial. An article titled **Exclusive: Oxford University Covid-19 vaccine trial has 50 per cent chance of 'no result'**, 23 May 2020 (see attached), includes reference to the monkey trial conducted by Sarah Gilbert:

When Covid-19 began to spread, Prof Gilbert decided to do a "little lab project" to see whether the Oxford technique could work on the new disease. Tests were promising, and in April six rhesus macaque monkeys were given single doses of the Oxford vaccine at a US lab, and were then exposed to the virus. **A month later, all six monkeys were still healthy.** (My emphasis.)

But further in the article **it emerges the vaccine *didn't* prevent infection nor transmission:**

Until last week, the Oxford vaccine was considered the clear frontrunner in the global race. Last week, however, a widely-reported article in *Forbes* cast doubt on the results of the monkey trial, suggesting that results actually showed the vaccine **did not prevent the animals from catching or spreading the virus because traces were found in the creatures' noses.** (My emphasis.)

Prof Hill said the article was misleading because the monkeys had been deliberately "overdosed" on coronavirus in order to test for safety.

"The honest truth, I think, is that the author is a long-retired senior Harvard virologist, infectious disease guy. He's not a vaccine developer," he said.

"We used a really high dose and these guys gave it not just into the lungs and the nose. They gave it into the mouth, and they gave it into the eyes. They gave a huge dose. I mean, seriously, it's that level of basic."

Here's the link to the *Forbes* article by William A Haseltine that cast doubt on the monkey trial: **Did the Oxford covid vaccine work in monkeys? Not really.** 16 May 2020.

In another article on the *Telegraph*, dated 18 May 2020: (See article attached - **Doubts over Oxford vaccine as it fails to stop coronavirus in animal trials.**)

Jonathan Ball, professor of molecular virology at the University of Nottingham, said **the vaccine data suggests that the jab may not be able to prevent the spread of the virus between infected individuals.**

**"That viral loads in the noses of vaccinated and unvaccinated animals were identical is very significant. If the same happened in humans, vaccination would not stop spread,"** he said. **"I genuinely believe that this finding should warrant an urgent re-appraisal of the ongoing human trials of the ChAdOx1 vaccine."**

And

Eleanor Riley, professor of Immunology and Infectious Disease at the University of Edinburgh, said there was both good and bad news in the most monkey trials:

"Whilst the vaccine induced neutralising antibodies and vaccinated animals experienced less severe clinical symptoms than unvaccinated animals (good), **the neutralising antibody titres were low and insufficient to prevent infection and - importantly - insufficient to prevent viral shedding in nasal secretions (worrying).**

**"If similar results were obtained in humans, the vaccine would likely provide partial protection against disease in the vaccine recipient but would be unlikely to reduce transmission in the wider community."**

(My emphasis.)

Again, did that happen as Jonathan Ball suggested? ***Was there an urgent re-appraisal of the ongoing human trials of the ChAdOx1 vaccine at that time?***

**Boris Johnson, this is important to consider now, as we know 'the vaccines' haven't stopped infection or spread in the human population. These 'leaky vaccines' have been circulated around the world, with millions of people being coerced to have them repeatedly, trashing valid voluntary consent, and creating multi-billion dollar repeat covid jab markets for the vaccine industry.**

This must be tracked back now, **the UK is at the heart of this matter with its rushed rollout of the Oxford-AstraZeneca jab.**

I request your urgent response on this matter.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

**Independent person investigation the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy**

[vaccinationispolitical.net](http://vaccinationispolitical.net)

---

### 3 attachments



**Exclusive\_ Oxford University Covid-19 vaccine trial has 50 per cent chance of 'no result'.pdf**  
2073K



**Doubts over Oxford vaccine as it fails to stop coronavirus in animal trials.pdf**  
2432K



**From symptoms to transmission - why we must listen to South African scientists on omicron.pdf**  
2458K