
Failure to obtain informed consent for Covid jabs - what is the indemnity insurance position of health practitioners?

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
To: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Mon, Sep 19, 2022 at 9:25 PM

Please see below my email to health practitioners' indemnity insurance provider Avant, querying the position of practitioners who don't obtain 'voluntary informed consent' before the Covid-19 jabs

Has anyone truly given 'voluntary informed consent' before these jabs - who has been properly informed about their individual risk re Covid-19 and the repeated shots of Covid-19 'leaky vaccines'?

How can someone who has been *mandated* to submit to the jabs give 'voluntary informed consent'?

Why have doctors' professional organisations such as the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, the Royal Australasian College of Physicians and the Australian Medical Association not spoken out against the mandates? It's astonishing and shocking that they've gone along with pressuring, coercing and manipulating people to submit to medical interventions which they have not been allowed to properly evaluate from a personal health perspective.

With presumably millions of Australians jabbed under mandates, including health practitioners, this is brewing as an explosive situation, as 'voluntary informed consent' has been trashed - **what happens when people comprehend the significance of this medical assault on personal autonomy and bodily integrity?**

Please see below my email to Avant.

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Sep 19, 2022 at 5:25 PM

Subject: Failure to obtain informed consent for Covid jabs - what is the indemnity insurance position of health practitioners?

To: <memberservices@avant>

For the attention of:

Georgie Haysom

Head of Advocacy Team

Avant - Professional Indemnity Insurance

Georgie Haysom, **many people have been, and are being, mandated to have Covid-19 jabs in Australia, via State and Territory Government directions, and mandates instigated by employers/businesses, sports clubs, entertainment and hospitality venues, retail, etc.**

The Covid-19 jabs are 'leaky vaccines' that do not prevent infection nor transmission, and purportedly provide 'protection' of very limited duration against a disease it was known from the beginning wasn't a serious threat to most people.

Can you please clarify the indemnity insurance position of health practitioners who inject patients with Covid-19 'leaky vaccines', knowing the patient is subject to a Covid jab mandate?

The Covid jab mandates conflict with the obligation for health practitioners to obtain 'voluntary informed consent' before a medical intervention, i.e. as described in *The Australian Immunisation Handbook*, under Valid consent, i.e. "For consent to be legally valid, the following elements must be present...It must be given voluntarily in the absence of undue pressure, coercion or manipulation...It can only be given after the potential risks and benefits of the relevant vaccine, the risks of not having it, and any alternative options have been explained to the person."

The Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) has also confirmed to me in writing that practitioners have an obligation to obtain informed consent, i.e. **"Practitioners have an obligation to obtain informed consent for treatment, including vaccination. Informed consent is a person's voluntary decision about health care that is made with knowledge and understanding of the benefits and risks involved."** See letter dated 21 September 2021, copy attached.

A factsheet published by the Australian Government Operation COVID Shield notes: (Copy attached.)

As a health professional you:

- require informed consent from a patient prior to providing them with a vaccination; and
- have a duty of care to ensure patients are making educated and informed decisions about vaccination.

The Operation COVID Shield factsheet notes:

A patient must provide informed consent prior to vaccination. If a patient has not provided informed consent you should not vaccinate them, even if they are mandated to receive a COVID-19 vaccination to perform particular roles or enter certain settings.

Presumably millions of people in Australia have now been injected with Covid-19 'leaky vaccines' via State and Territory Government directions, and mandates instigated by employers/businesses, sports clubs, entertainment and hospitality venues, retail, etc.

As many people have been *pressured, coerced and manipulated* to submit to the Covid-19 jabs via mandates, how can they have given 'voluntary informed consent' in accordance with *The Australian Immunisation Handbook*, the AHPRA confirmation letter dated 21 September 2021, and the Australian Government Operation COVID Shield factsheet?

George Haysom, **can you please clarify for me the indemnity insurance position of health practitioners who have injected their patients with Covid-19 'leaky vaccines' *without* 'voluntary informed consent' being obtained?**

This is a most important matter of public interest, and I request your early response.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

2 attachments



response-from-ahpra-re-informed-consent.pdf

105K



covid-19-vaccinations---handling-consent-refusal-by-people-presenting-for-vaccination-handling-consent-refusal-by-people-presenting-for-vaccination.pdf

1057K