
The plan... Covid jabs won't work in the old...so jab the young...who don't need them

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
To: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:47 PM

Please see below my follow-up to Peter Doherty, challenging his demand that children be jabbed with Covid-19 'leaky vaccines'.

Despite his oft-mentioned Nobel Prize, **is Peter Doherty really qualified to insist on Covid jab interventions for mass populations?**

And what about all the other 'experts' who seek to influence taxpayer-funded Covid public health policy?

It's way past time for transparency and accountability for those who have impacted on the grossly disproportionate and ill-targeted Covid-19 response, which has had a devastating impact on global societies and economies.

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Sep 14, 2022 at 4:20 PM

Subject: The plan... Covid jabs won't work in the old...so jab the young...who don't need them

To: Peter Doherty <pcd@unimelb>

Cc: <p.openshaw@imperial>, <a.akbar@ucl>, Ramesh Thakur <ramesh.thakur@anu>, <andrew.pollard@paediatrics.ox>, Gus Dagleish <dagleis@sgul>, <jioannid@stanford>, <j.mcvernon@unimelb>, <neil.ferguson@imperial>, <gcsa@go-science>, <c.whitty@nhs>, Sharon Davies <sdavies@bmj>, Peter Doshi <pdoshi@bmj>, Kamran Abbasi <kabbasi@bmj>, Theodora Bloom <tbloom@bmj>, <allyson.pollock@ncl>, <simon.wain-hobson@pasteur>, <ebright@waksman.rutgers>, <mlipsitc@hsph.harvard>, <mto@umn>, <tinglesby@jhu>, <carl.heneghan@phc.ox>, <michael.levitt@stanford>, <mkulldorff@bwh>, Jayanta Bhattacharya <jay@stanford>, <karol.sikora@buckingham>, <johan.giesecke@ki>, Ian Frazer <i.frazer@uq>, Collignon, Peter (Health) <peter.collignon@act>, Peter Collignon <collignon.peter@>, <roy.anderson@imperial>, <president@royalsociety>, <dcannadi@princeton>, V. Ramakrishnan <ramak@mrc-lmb.cam>, <InstituteDirector@turing>, <chris.conlon@ndm.ox>, Prof Robert Clancy <robert.clancy181@>, <sunetra.gupta@zoo.ox>, <Heidi.Larson@lshtm>, <graham.medley@lshtm>, <melinda.mills@sociology.ox>, <regius@medsci.ox>, <dak30@psu>, Peter A. McCullough <PeterAMcCullough@>, Nick Hudson <nick.hudson@pandata>, Emma McArthur <ejminoz@>, <anthony.harnden@phc.ox>, <Adam.Finn@bristol>, <adrian.hill@ndm.ox>, <sarah.gilbert@ndm.ox>, <president@science>, Geert Vanden Bossche <geert.vandenbossche@>, Robert Malone (rwmalonemd@) <rwmalonemd@>, Jonathan Engler <jengler@>, <a.read@psu>, Bret Weinstein <bret.weinstein@>, Tess Lawrie <tess@e-bmc>, <fionagodlee@>, <david.oliver@rcplondon>, <jonathan.ball@nottingham>, <eleanor.riley@ed>, Christopher Goodnow <c.goodnow@garvan>, <media@rcp>, John Shine <j.shine@garvan>, Brendan Crabb <brendan.crabb@burnet>, Sharon Lewin <sharon.lewin@unimelb>, <kathryn.north@unimelb>, <john.edmunds@lshtm>, Norman Fenton <n.fenton@qmul>, <robert.dingwall@ntu>, <u.power@qub>

For the attention of:

People influential on public health/vaccination policy via the scientific and medical establishment (and other interested parties)

Peter Doherty, Laureate Professor

Patron of the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity

Peter Doherty, in relation to Covid-19 and coronavirus vaccination, in May 2020 you said: **"Elderly people do not make good immune responses to something they have never seen before...If we could vaccinate all the young people up to age 60, then we would massively increase herd immunity"**, see: 'I'm 79, I won the Nobel Prize and I don't give a s---', *Australian Financial Review*. (See copy attached.)

It seems you were on the same page as Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar in June 2020, with the plan to vaccinate the young to purportedly protect the old, see my recent email to Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar below.

Peter Doherty, the May 2020 *AFR* article also reports **"If the vaccine doesn't work well in the elderly, there are strategies to tackle that, too, including a prime boost of the vaccine or providing an extra vaccine or stage vaccines"**.

It looks like this was all stitched up from the beginning, the diabolical experiment to jab the entire global population, against a disease it was known wasn't a serious threat for most people. With young people being jabbed to purportedly protect the old...

Wow! This is quite some dastardly plan... And now here we are, with all and sundry being repeatedly jabbed with 'leaky vaccines' that don't prevent infection nor transmission, while treatment of the sick was suppressed to facilitate the development of the lucrative Covid jab regime.

This is exploitation of the people on a ginormous scale, with repeated shots of defective injections, including millions of people mandated to submit to the jabs in Australia, trashing 'voluntary informed consent', and personal autonomy and bodily integrity.

Who are the real beneficiaries of this medical assault? The likes of Pfizer and Moderna and AstraZeneca etc? And also the many hangers-on who benefit from the development of a massive global Covid industry, e.g. **your namesake Doherty Institute, which is involved in Covid-19 jab research, along with others such as the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, and the Burnet Institute etc. So many conflicts of interest to explore...**

So interesting to look back on all this now Peter Doherty, including **your call for children to be jabbed**, capturing them for life for Big Pharma's very rich gravy train? As I asked in my *BMJ* rapid response in August 2020 - **Is it ethical to vaccinate children to protect the elderly?**

Now Ramesh Thakur says: **Stop vaccinating children for Covid: It's neither medically justified nor ethical - "Against the extremely low serious risk from Covid with a survival rate of 99.99% for 0-19 year olds, the likely greater risk from vaccines, and the completely unknown long-term effects of the new-technology vaccines, if I had young children, I'd resist attempts to jab them, to the death if necessary."**

Ramesh Thakur, a former United Nations Assistant Secretary, is emeritus professor in the Crawford School of Public Policy in the Australian National University, **and one of the very few academics with the courage to speak out publicly on the global Covid-19 scandal.**

In my opinion **there should never have been a global mass population 'vaccine response' to Covid-19** - as I questioned in my *BMJ* rapid response in March 2020: **Is it ethical to impede access to natural immunity? The case of SARS-CoV2.**

Peter Doherty, in September 2021 you tweeted: **"Don't be a moron. Don't listen to lying or just plain dumb morons. Get the f-ing vaccine!" Do you still stand by this medical 'advice' Peter Doherty?** (See copy attached.)

How will history judge you on this matter Peter Doherty? Will your demand to interfere with the natural immune system of the young, to purportedly protect the old, secure your place in infamy?

The grossly disproportionate and ill-targeted global 'Covid-19' response...the biggest crime in history...

Please see below my email to Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar re their participation in June 2020 in the UK House of Lords committee considering the science of COVID-19.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Sep 6, 2022 at 1:53 PM

Subject: Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar - Is it ethical to vaccinate the young to purportedly protect the old?

To: <p.openshaw@imperial>, <a.akbar@ucl>

Cc: Peter Doherty <pcd@unimelb>, <andrew.pollard@paediatrics.ox>, Gus Dalglish <dalgles@sgul>, <jioannid@stanford>, <j.mcvernon@unimelb>, <neil.ferguson@imperial>, <gcsa@go-science>, <c.whitty@nhs>, Sharon Davies <sdavies@bmj>, Peter Doshi <pdoshi@bmj>, Kamran Abbasi <kabbasi@bmj>, Theodora Bloom <tbloom@bmj>, <allyson.pollock@ncl>, <simon.wain-hobson@pasteur>, <ebright@waksman.rutgers>, <mlipsitc@hsph.harvard>, <mto@umn>, <tinglesby@jhu>, <carl.heneghan@phc.ox>, <michael.levitt@stanford>, <mkulldorff@bwh>, Jayanta Bhattacharya <jay@stanford>, <karol.sikora@buckingham>, <johan.giesecke@ki>, Ian Frazer <i.frazer@uq>, Collignon, Peter (Health) <peter.collignon@act>, Peter Collignon <collignon.peter@>, <roy.anderson@imperial>, <president@royalsociety>, <dcannadi@princeton>, V. Ramakrishnan <ramak@mrc-

lmb.cam>, <InstituteDirector@turing>, <chris.conlon@ndm.ox>, Prof Robert Clancy <robert.clancy181@>, <sunetra.gupta@zoo.ox>, <Heidi.Larson@lshtm>, <graham.medley@lshtm>, <melinda.mills@sociology.ox>, <regius@medsci.ox>, <dak30@psu>, Peter A. McCullough <PeterAMcCullough@>, Nick Hudson <nick.hudson@pandata>, Emma McArthur <ejminoz@>, <anthony.harnden@phc.ox>, <Adam.Finn@bristol>, <adrian.hill@ndm.ox>, <sarah.gilbert@ndm.ox>, <president@science>, Geert Vanden Bossche <geert.vandenbossche@>, Robert Malone (rwmalonemd@) <rwmalonemd@>, Jonathan Engler <jengler@>, <a.read@psu>, Bret Weinstein <bret.weinstein@>, Tess Lawrie <tess@e-bmc>, <fionagodlee@>, <david.oliver@rcplondon>, <jonathan.ball@nottingham>, <eleanor.riley@ed>, Christopher Goodnow <c.goodnow@garvan>, <media@rcp>, John Shine <j.shine@garvan>, Brendan Crabb <brendan.crabb@burnet>, Sharon Lewin <sharon.lewin@unimelb>, <kathryn.north@unimelb>, <john.edmunds@lshtm>, Norman Fenton <n.fenton@qmul>, <robert.dingwall@ntu>, <u.power@qub>

For the attention of:

People influential on public health/vaccination policy via the scientific and medical establishment (and other interested parties)

Peter Openshaw - Professor of Experimental Medicine, Imperial College London

Arne Akbar - Professor of Immunology, University College London

Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar, in June 2020 it was reported a House of Lords committee considering the science of COVID-19 was told **"children may have to be immunised to protect their grandparents"**, see this article by Sarah Boseley, then Health editor with *The Guardian*: **Covid-19 vaccine may not work for at risk older people, say scientists**. (Copy attached.)

Really?! Did this plan go before an ethics committee? I'd be very interested to read the outcome of careful ethical deliberation on this matter, which amounts to gross over-vaccination of children, against a disease which is of little or no threat to them.

Sarah Boseley's article notes Covid-19 'vaccines' **"may not work well in older people who are most at risk of becoming seriously ill and dying from the disease"**.

So why was a vaccine solution ever proposed if it was unlikely to be successful in the vulnerable group?

The [Lords committee meeting transcript](#) records Arne Akbar saying: **"I hate to keep bringing up flies in the ointment. To have a good vaccine is very important, but vaccines do not work very well in older people. This has been shown with many other vaccines in the past-"** Arne Akbar says: **"The vaccine alone will help the younger people, which will be good, because if the younger people are not infected they will not spread it to the older people. But it will not directly help the older group very much, and they are the people with the most severe disease right now."** (Transcript attached.)

But would a vaccine "help the younger people"? It was already known that younger people were not at risk of Covid-19, **is it ethical to interfere with their natural immune response?** See for example my *BMJ* rapid response published in March 2020: **Is it ethical to impede access to natural immunity? The case of SARS-CoV2**, which cites this alarming statement from Heidi Larson, Director of The Vaccine Confidence Project: **"We've shifted the human population...to dependency on vaccine-induced immunity...We're in a very fragile state now. We've developed a world that is dependent on vaccinations."**

Peter Openshaw, in the Lords committee meeting you said: **"Sometimes it is possible to protect a vulnerable group by targeting another group.** This is being done with influenza, for example. **Over the past few years, the UK has been at the forefront of rolling out the live attenuated vaccine for children,** because children amplify the distribution of the virus in the community. **It is possible to see that grandparents are being protected by the vaccination of children** that are in school using this very benign, nasally delivered vaccine that causes good protection in the nose and respiratory tract. **Even though children themselves do not always suffer from severe flu,** that is a very simple non-injectable form of vaccine that causes wider protection in the community. So you can get indirect protection using that type of community-based approach to limit the spread." (My emphasis.)

It's astonishing that children are being regularly administered nasal sprays against flu to purportedly protect their grandparents!

And now children are being injected with Covid-19 'leaky vaccines', again purportedly for the benefit of the elderly.

How can this medical interference with entire populations of children, who themselves are not at serious risk with Covid-19 or flu, be ethically justifiable?

I raised this matter in my *BMJ* rapid response, published in August 2020, see: **Is it ethical to vaccinate children to protect the elderly?**

This is very important to consider now, as children are being injected with the Covid-19 'leaky vaccines', that don't prevent infection nor transmission - we have no idea of the long-term cumulative consequences of these medical interventions they did not need! This is a global experiment in progress, which contravenes ethical principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

Peter Openshaw, you've recently been copied on my email to Peter Doherty on this matter, see: [Peter Doherty - why did you call for children to be jabbed with defective COVID-19 'leaky vaccines'?](#) 29 August 2022.

Again, Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar, were these plans to inject the young to purportedly protect the old ever put before an ethics committee? Are the deliberations on this important ethical matter openly accessible?

Children are now being hooked onto Covid-19 'leaky vaccines' that do not prevent infection nor transmission, against a disease it was known from the beginning wasn't a serious threat to most people.

Is it ethical to deny children, young people and others their opportunity for a natural immune response, to plan to make them dependent on 'vaccine-induced immunity' - to in effect capture them for the vaccine industry?

The Covid jabs are now being pressed upon the community every few months - who gave their voluntary informed consent for repeated jabs at the beginning of the Covid jab rollout? And what is this going to mean for children - is the plan to jab them every few months, to keep them 'up to date', against a disease that was of little or no threat to them?

This is an *insane* situation that should never have happened because it was known from the beginning these injections weren't going to work for the elderly, and weren't needed for the young and other interim generations. As for the suggestion "sometimes it is possible to protect a vulnerable group by targeting another group" - this has to be tracked back now, what was the ethical approval process that allowed this disaster to happen?

Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar, I request your early response on this matter of international importance.

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

4 attachments

-  Covid-19 vaccine may not work for at-risk older people, say scientists _ Coronavirus _ The Guardian.pdf
362K
-  Lords Committee transcript - Peter Openshaw and Arne Akbar.pdf
131K
-  'I'm 79, I won the Nobel Prize and I don't give a s---'.pdf
249K
-  Prof. Peter Doherty on Twitter_ _Don't be a moron. Don't listen to lying or just plain dumb morons. Get the f-ing vaccine!.pdf
610K