
Why was there a 'vaccine solution' proposed against SARS-CoV-2/Covid-19?

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
To: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Fri, Nov 11, 2022 at 11:35 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Nov 10, 2022 at 11:07 PM

Subject: Why was there a 'vaccine solution' proposed against SARS-CoV-2/Covid-19?

To: Kamran Abbasi <kabbasi@bmj>, Peter Doherty <pcd@unimelb>, <p.openshaw@imperial>, <a.akbar@ucl>, Ramesh Thakur <ramesh.thakur@anu>, <andrew.pollard@paediatrics.ox>, Gus Dalglish <dalglish@sgul>, <jioannid@stanford>, <j.mcvernon@unimelb>, <neil.ferguson@imperial>, <gcsa@go-science>, <c.whitty@nhs>, Sharon Davies <sdavies@bmj>, Peter Doshi <pdoshi@bmj>, Theodora Bloom <tbloom@bmj>, <allyson.pollock@ncl>, <simon.wain-hobson@pasteur>, <ebright@waksman.rutgers>, <mlipsitc@hsph.harvard>, <mto@umn>, <tinglesby@jhu>, <carl.heneghan@phc.ox>, <michael.levitt@stanford>, Jayanta Bhattacharya <jay@stanford>, <karol.sikora@buckingham>, <johan.giesecke@ki>, Ian Frazer <i.frazer@uq>, Collignon, Peter (Health) <peter.collignon@act>, Peter Collignon <collignon.peter@>, <roy.anderson@imperial>, <president@royalsociety>, <dcannadi@princeton>, V. Ramakrishnan <ramak@mrc-lmb.cam>, <InstituteDirector@turing>, <chris.conlon@ndm.ox>, Prof Robert Clancy <robert.clancy181@>, <sunetra.gupta@zoo.ox>, <Heidi.Larson@lshtm>, <graham.medley@lshtm>, <melinda.mills@sociology.ox>, <regius@medsci.ox>, <dak30@psu>, Peter A. McCullough <PeterAMcCullough@>, Nick Hudson <nick.hudson@pandata>, Emma McArthur <ejminoz@>, <anthony.harnden@phc.ox>, <Adam.Finn@bristol>, <adrian.hill@ndm.ox>, <sarah.gilbert@ndm.ox>, <president@science>, Geert Vanden Bossche <geert.vandenbossche@>, Robert Malone (rwmalonemd@) <rwmalonemd@>, Jonathan Engler <jengler@>, <a.read@psu>, Bret Weinstein <bret.weinstein@>, Tess Lawrie <tess@e-bmc>, <fionagodlee@>, <david.oliver@rcplondon>, <jonathan.ball@nottingham>, <eleanor.riley@ed>, Christopher Goodnow <c.goodnow@garvan>, <media@rcp>, John Shine <j.shine@garvan>, Brendan Crabb <brendan.crabb@burnet>, Sharon Lewin <sharon.lewin@unimelb>, <kathryn.north@unimelb>, <john.edmunds@lshtm>, Norman Fenton <n.fenton@qmul>, <robert.dingwall@ntu>, <u.power@qub>, <kulldorff@brownstone>, nikolai.petrovsky <nikolai.petrovsky@flinders>

For the attention of:

People influential on international public health/vaccination policy via the scientific and medical establishment, and other parties

Why was a 'vaccine solution' proposed against SARS-CoV-2/Covid-19, given it was acknowledged from the beginning that Covid-19 wasn't a serious threat for most people?

I raised this matter in a *BMJ* rapid response in March 2020, in which I note: **"According to the WHO, "Illness due to COVID-19 infection is generally mild, especially for children and young adults."** (See my *BMJ* rapid response: **Is it ethical to impede access to natural immunity? The case of SARS-CoV2**, 25 March 2020.)

But now here we are, **with almost the entire population of Australia, of all ages and health status, pressed to be injected with Covid jabs - over and over again - along with reportedly billions of people around the world - how has this happened?!?!**

In my *BMJ* rapid response published in March 2020 I said: **"Is the focus on future fast-tracked vaccine products blocking full consideration of the opportunity for natural herd immunity? Who is Neil Ferguson to say "The only exit strategy [in the] long term for this is really vaccination or other forms of innovative technology that allows us to control transmission".**

It's time to revisit this now...**how did we end up with the INSANE plan to jab the entire global population against a disease it was known from the beginning wasn't a serious threat for most people?**

Consider for instance the article I cited by Elisabeth Mahase on *The BMJ*, that includes the quote from Neil Ferguson, i.e. **"The only exit strategy [in the] long term for this is really vaccination or other forms of innovative technology that allows us to control transmission".** The article is titled: **Covid-19: UK starts social distancing after new model points to 260 000 potential deaths**, 17 March 2020. (A copy of the article is attached.)

Who apart from Neil Ferguson decided **"The only exit strategy [in the] long term for this is really vaccination or other forms of innovative technology that allows us to control transmission".**

- What was the formal process to evaluate the vaccination strategy?
- Was there a process?
- Did anyone challenge the vaccination strategy at the time? Why not?

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy
vaccinationispolitical.net



Covid-19_ UK starts social distancing after new model points to 260 000 potential deaths.pdf

704K