
Informed consent and mass population Covid-19 vaccination - considering Rogers v Whitaker. Email to Paul Kelly, Chief Medical Officer of Australia

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 14, 2023 at 3:53 PM

To: covid19vaccinerfi@health.gov.au

Cc: minister.butler@health.gov.au, Brendan.Murphy@health.gov.au, john.skerritt@health.gov.au, nigel.crawford@mcri.edu.au, a.wilson@sydney.edu.au, premier@sa.gov.au, ministerforhealth@sa.gov.au, bragg@parliament.sa.gov.au, James.Stevens.MP@aph.gov.au, "Antic, Alex (Senator)" <senator.antic@aph.gov.au>, Emma McArthur <ejminoz@gmail.com>

For the attention of:

Paul Kelly
Chief Medical Officer
Director of Human Biosecurity
Australian Government
Department of Health and Aged Care

Paul Kelly, **please see below my recent email to Federal Health Minister Mark Butler, comparing millions of people in Australia, including children, being coerced, pressured and manipulated to submit to Covid-19 vaccination, with the case of Rogers v Whitaker, which underpins the legal and ethical principle of 'voluntary informed consent' before a medical intervention in Australia.**

I've previously asked you to be accountable for Covid vaccination mandates in Australia, please see my email: [Mandated Covid jabs - What is the scientific and medical justification for these mandated medical interventions? 19 October 2022.](#)

But you did not respond.

I also asked former Federal Health Minister Greg Hunt to provide **the "specialist medical and epidemiological advice" provided by the AHPPC and CMO that justified the Governor General's declaration of a human biosecurity emergency under the Biosecurity Act 2015, and subsequent rollover periods**, along with requesting information on the unelected members of the AHPPC, and other 'medical and scientific experts' providing advice. As far as I'm aware, I received no response from Greg Hunt. (See my email: [The Covid emergency and medical and scientific experts, 23 July 2021.](#))

Also see my email to South Australian Chief Public Health Officer Nicola Spurrier, raising alarm about the rushed Covid-19 vaccination rollout: [Coercive covid-19 injections in Australia, 4 June 2021.](#)

Paul Kelly, **it's a very serious matter that doctors such as yourself and your AHPPC colleagues recommended mandatory vaccinations**, e.g. [Australian Health Protection Principal Committee \(AHPPC\) statement on mandatory vaccination of all workers in health care settings, 1 October 2021.](#)

This appears to have had a precipitous effect on widespread Covid-19 vaccination mandates across Australia, the basis of which must be explored and exposed.

It appears you've trashed people's freedom to give voluntary informed consent before a medical intervention - Covid-19 vaccination.

Please see below my email to Mark Butler re informed consent and mass population Covid-19 vaccination - considering Rogers v Whitaker.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy
vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Apr 5, 2023 at 5:07 PM

Subject: Informed consent and mass population Covid-19 vaccination - considering Rogers v Whitaker

To: <minister.butler@health.gov.au>
Cc: Emma McArthur <ejminoz@gmail.com>

For the attention of:

Mark Butler
Minister for Health and Aged Care
Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care

Mark Butler, **millions of adults and children in Australia have been ordered to have Covid-19 vaccinations, regardless of their health status and risk of Covid-19.**

I suggest in most instances Covid-19 vaccination is an **unnecessary medical intervention**, against a disease it was known from the beginning wasn't a serious threat to most people, with the WHO acknowledging "Most people infected with the virus will experience mild to moderate illness and recover without requiring special treatment..." (See attached WHO statement on Coronavirus (COVID-19).)

The vast majority of people are not 'patients' in need of a medical intervention to correct a health problem, or protect them from serious harm. The majority of people are effectively protected via their own immune response, without need for the artificial interference of repeated Covid-19 vaccine products.

Consider the case of Rogers v Whitaker 1992, which underpins health practitioners' legal and ethical obligation to obtain informed consent. In this case, Maree Whitaker, a patient with an existing eye condition, consulted Christopher Rogers, an ophthalmic surgeon. **This was a one on one consultation between a patient and a medical professional with specific expertise.**

Contrast this case with the millions of people who have been pressured, coerced, manipulated, and even **mandated**, to have a medical intervention of no benefit to them. **Moreover, these individuals were compelled to present at a 'vaccination clinic' in order to be injected with a medical product, by a health practitioner who is unlikely to have specific expertise in Covid-19 or Covid-19 vaccine products.**

Mark Butler, your department has confirmed to me: **"Informed consent should be obtained for every COVID-19 vaccination, as per usual consent procedures for other vaccinations."** This isn't happening - people are not being properly informed. Additionally, **people under mandates are clearly not able to give lawful consent if they are attending the vaccination clinic under duress**, faced with the threat of loss of livelihood or freedom of movement and association.

The medical profession is very much in the frame for this medical and political disaster, as they should have refused to cooperate with mandated medical interventions - are they now at risk of liability for administering unnecessary Covid-19 vaccinations, without authentic voluntary informed consent? (See my presentation to the Australian Medical Network: Voluntary Informed Consent and Covid Jab Mandates - Are we sitting on a powder keg? February 2023. PowerPoint slides for this presentation.)

Both the Albanese government and the former Morrison government have confirmed that health practitioners do not have specific medical indemnity for administering the Covid-19 vaccinations, in response to my colleague Emma McArthur's and my own correspondence on this matter, as summarised in my email to you dated 21 November 2022, see: Response re: Are health practitioners covered for indemnity insurance re the Covid jabs? (Copies of letters we received from the Department of Health and Aged Care are attached.)

Health practitioners, businesses and others who have overridden voluntary informed consent obligations must be warned about the potential ramifications.

Mark Butler, the Australian federal, state and territory governments must take immediate steps to address this matter, **in the first instance ensuring all Covid-19 vaccination mandates are dropped.**


I request your urgent response.


Sincerely
Elizabeth Hart
Independent researcher investigating vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy
vaccinationispolitical.net

9 attachments

 **WHO - Coronavirus.pdf**
727K

 **mc22-018819-signed-highlighted-1.pdf**
177K

 **doh-reply-21-12-2021.pdf**
120K

 **doh-reply-28-01-2022.pdf**
100K

 **doh-reply-17-january-2023.pdf**
92K

 **Australian Health Protection Principal Committee (AHPPC) statement on mandatory vaccination of all workers in health care settings _ Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care.pdf**
118K

 **mandated-covid-jabs-what-is-the-scientific-and-medical-justification-for-these-mandated-medical-interventions.pdf**
116K

 **the-covid-emergency-and-medical-and-scientific-experts.pdf**
89K

 **re_coercive-covid-19-injections-in-australia-email-to-nicola-spurrier-chief-public-health-officer-sa-health.pdf**
132K